	Case 3:11-cv-05479-RBL Document 1 Filed 06/23/11 Page 1 of 14
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA
9	VANTEK, INCORPORATED, a ) Washington corporation, )
10	NO. Plaintiff,
11	) COMPLAINT vs. ) (Breach of Contract, Breach of ) Fiduciary Duty, and Interference
12	RAYMOND S. STILLWELL, a ) with Business Expectancy) married person; GREEN )
13	INVESTMENT GROUP, INC., )   an Illinois corporation:
14	M2GREEN, LLC, a Montana ) limited liability company; M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, )
15	LLC, an Illinois limited liability ) company; Defendant MARK D. )
16	SPIZZO, a married person; ) JOHN DOES and JANE DOES, )
17	I through V; and JOHN DOES, ( ) INC., I through V;
18	Defendants. )
19	Plaintiff VANTEK, INCORPORATED (herein "VANTEK") alleges as
20	follows:
21	I. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES</u> .
22	1.1 Plaintiff VANTEK, INCORPORATED, is a corporation organized
23	Grant & Elcock, PLLC  VANT005.COM.wpd  Grant & Elcock, PLLC  Attorneys and Counselors at Law Heritage Plaza, Suite 104
24	COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract,  Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference  with Business Expectancy) - 1  15640 NE Fourth Plain Blvd. Vancouver, WA 98682  TEL: (360) 694-8688  FAX: (360) 694-8688

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 2

and existing under the laws of the state of Washington with its principal place of business located in Vancouver, Clark County, Washington.

- 1.2 Defendant RAYMOND S. STILLWELL is a married person residing in the state of Illinois and the president and shareholder of Defendant GREEN INVESTMENT GROUP, INC., a member of Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and a member of Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC. At all times alleged herein, Defendant STILLWELL acted as an authorized agent and owner of these corporate entities and on behalf of himself. Defendant STILLWELL is also an attorney at law who was licensed to practice law in the state of Illinois from November 27, 1973, through 2010.
- 1.3 Defendant GREEN INVESTMENT GROUP, INC., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Illinois with its principal place of business located in Alton, Illinois.
- 1.4 Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the state of Montana with its principal place of business located in Alton, Illinois.
- 1.5 Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC, is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the state of Illinois with its principal place of business located in Alton, Illinois.
- 1.6 Defendant MARK D. SPIZZO is a married person residing in the state of Illinois and is a shareholder and an officer of Defendant GREEN INVESTMENT GROUP, INC., a member of Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and a

Grant & Elcock, PLLC
Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Heritage Plaza, Suite 104
15640 NE Fourth Plain Blvd.
Vancouver, WA 98682
TEL: (360) 694-8488
FAX: (360) 694-8688

7

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

member of Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC. At all times alleged herein. Defendant SPIZZO acted as an authorized agent and owner of these corporate entities, on behalf of Defendant STILLWELL, and on behalf of himself.

- 1.7 Defendants JOHN DOES and JANE DOES, I through V, are individuals who are liable to Plaintiff VANTEK, INCORPORATED, for the conduct hereinafter alleged. However, Plaintiff VANTEK, INCORPORATED, does not currently know the identity of these individuals.
- 1.8 Defendants JOHN DOES, INC., I through V, are corporate entities which are liable to Plaintiff VANTEK, INCORPORATED, for the conduct hereinafter alleged. However, Plaintiff VANTEK, INCORPORATED, does not currently know the identity of these corporate entities.

## II. JURISDICTION.

- 2.1 Plaintiff VANTEK, INCORPORATED, and all of the defendants are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. This court has diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U. S. C. A. § 1332(a)(1).
- 2.2 This court has personal jurisdiction over all of the defendants because all of them have conducted business within the state of Washington, have consented to jurisdiction in this court, and/or have engaged in business or tortious transactions which have damaged a resident of this state.

## III. VENUE.

3.1 Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U. S. C. A.

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 3

Grant & Elcock, PLLC Attorneys and Counselors at Law Heritage Plaza, Suite 104 15640 NE Fourth Plain Blvd. Vancouver, WA 98682 TEL: (360) 694-8488 FAX: (360) 694-8688

§ 1391(a)(2) or (3) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred and one or more of the defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction at the time this action is commenced.

## IV. FACTS.

- 4.1 On or about May 12, 2009, Plaintiff VANTEK and Defendant GREEN INVESTMENT GROUP, INC., (herein "Defendant GIGI") entered into a partnership agreement (herein "the Partnership Agreement") in which the parties agreed to place their money, efforts, labor, and skill in subsequent business ventures and to divide the expenses and profits thereof. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a true and accurate copy of this Partnership Agreement.
- 4.2 Pursuant to the Partnership Agreement, Plaintiff VANTEK contracted to introduce "properties and business opportunities" and generally "shut down pulp and paper mills" to Defendant GIGI and Defendant GIGI agreed to provide the "technology and a track record of developing similar sites." In addition, Plaintiff VANTEK and Defendant GIGI agreed to "work together on these sites as 50% 50% partners[.]" The Partnership Agreement contemplated the formation of another entity or entities "to own and develop said property(ies)" with Plaintiff VANTEK and Defendant GIGI "each owning 50% and of said property(ies) unless otherwise agreed between the parties."
- 4.3 The Partnership Agreement by its terms provided for the transaction to be governed by the laws of the state of Washington and subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of Washington courts. At all times material to the

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract,

Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 5

claims herein, Plaintiff VANTEK's principal place of business was located in Clark County, Washington, and within the judicial district of the Western District of Washington.

- 4.4 On or about November 19, 2009, and pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, Defendant RAYMOND S. STILLWELL (herein "Defendant STILLWELL") incorporated VanGreen, LLC, in the state of Colorado. Attached hereto as Exhibit "B" is a true copy fo the Articles of Organization filed with the Colorado Secretary of State. Plaintiff VANTEK, a Washington corporation, and Defendant GIGI, an Illinois corporation, were the two members of VanGreen, LLC. At all material times alleged herein, Defendant STILLWELL and Defendant SPIZZO knew that Plaintiff VANTEK's principal offices were located in the state of Washington.
- 4.5 In or about January 2010, and pursuant to the Partnership Agreement, Plaintiff VANTEK introduced Defendant STILLWELL, Defendant SPIZZO, and Defendant GIGI to a project known as the Smurfit-Stone paper mill (herein "the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill") in the Frenchtown area of Missoula, Montana. The Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill was a shut down pulp and paper mill. In January 2011, and pursuant to the Partnership Agreement, Plaintiff VANTEK reintroduced the defendants to this project. In an e-mail dated January 14, 2011, Shelina Nelson, vice-president of Vantek, notified Defendant STILLWELL and Defendant GIGI that a prior group's offer for the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill is going down the drain rapidly, and indicated that Plaintiff VANTEK hoped that

Grant & Elcock, PLLC Attorneys and Counselors at Law Heritage Plaza, Suite 104 15640 NE Fourth Plain Blvd. Vancouver, WA 98682 TEL: (360) 694-8488 FAX: (360) 694-8688

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 6

"we can move forward on this project through your group, and wonder if there's been any progress on your end in this regard." A true copy of this e-mail dated January 14, 2011, is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."

4.6 Defendant STILLWELL. Defendant SPIZZO, and Defendant GIGI, as required by the Partnership Agreement on behalf of Plaintiff VANTEK and VanGreen, LLC, commenced negotiations with Smurfit-Stone Container Corporation for the purchase of the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill and seeking out potential lending sources for the purchase of the project. During these negotiations, Defendant STILLWELL, Defendant SPIZZO, and Defendant GIGI kept Plaintiff VANTEK apprized as to the status of the lending opportunities and purchase aspects of this transactions and sent multiple e-mails to Plaintiff VANTEK's offices in the state of Washington. On February 13, 2011, Defendant STILLWELL sent an e-mail to Plaintiff VANTEK which stated: "Then, once we get past the LOI [letter of intent] to the PSA [purchase and sale agreement], the terms of the partnership or structure of the purchase . . . can be determined." A true copy of this e-mail dated February 13, 2011, is attached hereto as Exhibit "D."

4.7 On February 14, 2011, Defendant STILLWELL notified the parties via e-mail that the transaction was expected to close on March 31, 2011, for a purchase price of \$18 million. In the same e-mail, Defendant STILLWELL stated:

[T]he purchaser is a consortium including VanGreen, LLC, and

Independence, that will connect the proof of funds letter to the LOI, which will be in the name of the "partnership" entity between Vantek and Green Investment Group. VanGreen, LLC, is a Colorado limited liability corporation formed over a year ago by Gordon [Cassie, president of Vantek] and us for deals where we wold be working together.

A true copy of this e-mail dated February 14, 2011, is attached hereto as Exhibit "E."

- 4.8 For approximately eighteen (18) months, Plaintiff VANTEK spent considerable time, effort and cost in having its employees researching the Smurfit-Stone Mill by engaging in the following actions in furtherance of this transaction: researching the site, meeting with potential sellers, making endless phone calls, sending numerous e-mails, providing specific information to Defendant STILLWELL, Defendant SPIZZO and Defendant GIGI including, without limitation, biographical data on seller, time lines, pricing, financial and terms of payment, introducing and reintroducing these defendants to the parties related to this project, informing them that the site was available for sale, communicating with the commercial broker to obtain relevant information, keeping them abreast of other offers for the project, immediately notifying them when the site actually became available to the parties, searching for potential financing partners, and communicating with and vetting potential financing partners.
- 4.9 On or about March 7, 2011, Defendant STILLWELL had a lengthy telephone conversation with Shelina Nelson, vice-president of Plaintiff VANTEK,

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 7

revised Purchase and Sale Agreement for the owners of the Smurfit-Stone Mill using the name of Defendant GIGI instead of VanGreen, LLC. He assured Nelson that this entity was used to take advantage of the current awareness that people have of Green Investment Group in the Missoula, Montana, area. He assured her: "It makes no difference to Vantek's agreement with VanGreen and Green Investment Group."

in which he informed Nelson that he and Defendant SPIZZO has submitted a

- 4.10 In April 2011, Nelson again telephoned Defendant STILLWELL indicating that Plaintiff VANTEK has heard that the transaction to purchase the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill would close on April 29, 2011, through a different buyer. Defendant STILLWELL represented to Nelson that he had performed some additional research, had several additional discussions with the representatives of the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill, and had reached the conclusions that this situation was "messy" so he was not now interested in purchasing the site.
- 4.11 Plaintiff VANTEK received no further communications from Defendant STILLWELL or Defendant GIGI, but later learned through the media on or about May 5, 2011, and other sources that Defendant STILLWELL, Defendant SPIZZO, and Defendant GIGI formed two other entities to take title to and consummate the purchase of the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill.
- 4.12 Instead of allowing Plaintiff VANTEK to participate in this transaction, Defendant STILLWELL and Defendant SPIZZO incorporated a

limited liability company, Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, in the state of Montana on March 29, 2011, to purchase the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill and incorporated a different limited liability company, Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC, on April 22, 2011, in the state of Illinois to hold title to and manage the Smurfit-Stone Mill.

4.13 Defendant STILLWELL and Defendant SPIZZO diverted this business opportunity from Plaintiff VANTEK and/or VanGreen, LLC, to Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC.

#### V. CAUSES OF ACTION.

## CAUSE OF ACTION NO. 1: BREACH OF CONTRACT (Against Defendant Green Investment Group, Inc.)

- 5.1 Plaintiff VANTEK realleges and reasserts the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1.1 through 4.13 above.
- 5.2 Plaintiff VANTEK and Defendant GIGI entered into a Partnership Agreement dated May 12, 2009, in which Plaintiff VANTEK would introduce business opportunities in the form of shut down pulp and paper mills to Defendant GIGI and Defendant GIGI would furnish the technology and obtain the lending necessary to purchase and develop similar site.
- 5.3 Plaintiff VANTEK introduced the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill to Defendant GIGI and has performed all of the conditions precedent to its obligations under the Agreement dated May 12, 2011.
  - 5.4 Defendant GIGI has breached this Agreement in at least one or

23

21

22

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 9 Grant & Elcock, PLLC Attorneys and Counselors at Law Heritage Plaza, Suite 104 15640 NE Fourth Plain Blvd. Vancouver, WA 98682 TEL: (360) 694-8488 FAX: (360) 694-8688

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

more of the following ways: (a) failing to permit the entity formed by Plaintiff VANTEK and Defendant GIGI known as VanGreen, LLC, to participate in the ownership and development of the Smurfit-Stone Mill; (b) by failing to permit Plaintiff VANTEK to participate in the ownership of the entities subsequently formed, e.g., Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC, to hold ownership of and develop the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill; and/or (c) to allow Plaintiff VANTEK to work together as 50% - 50% partners with it on the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill project.

5.5 By reason of this breach of contract, Plaintiff VANTEK has been damaged in amount in excess of \$75,000.00 and in an exact amount to be proven at trial.

# CAUSE OF ACTION NO. 2: BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY (Against Defendant Green Investment Group, Inc., Defendant Stillwell, Defendant Spizzo, Defendant M2Green, LLC, and Defendant M2 Green Redevelopment, Inc.)

- 5.6 Plaintiff VANTEK realleges and reasserts the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1.1 through 5.5 above.
- 5.7 Defendant GIGI, Defendant STILLWELL, Defendant SPIZZO, Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC, possessed fiduciary duties to Plaintiff VANTEK by reason of their status as partners, expected partners, or principals of partnership entities in the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill project including, without limitation, the duty of loyalty, the duty of care, and the obligation of good faith and fair dealing.

23

22

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 10 Grant & Elcock, PLLC Attorneys and Counselors at Law Heritage Plaza, Suite 104 15640 NE Fourth Plain Blvd. Vancouver, WA 98682 TEL: (360) 694-8488 FAX: (360) 694-8688

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 11

5.8 The fiduciary duties of Defendant GIGI, Defendant STILLWELL, Defendant SPIZZO, Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC, included, without limitation, a trustee-level responsibility for partnership assets held and a constructive trust on those who hold the assets, an obligation to refrain from usurping partnership opportunities, a prohibition against direct competition with the partnership, and an obligation to avoid conflict-of-interest transactions and circumstances.

Defendant GIGI, Defendant STILLWELL, Defendant SPIZZO, Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC, breached their fiduciary duties to Plaintiff VANTEK by engaging in, without limitation, the following actions: (a) by diverting the partnership opportunity of the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill from Plaintiff VANTEK into other entities owned by Defendant SPIZZO and Defendant STILLWELL (e.g., Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC); (b) by misrepresenting their disinterest in this opportunity in order to purchase the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill for themselves and their separate corporate entities; and/or (c) by engaging in other conduct to be determined through additional discovery.

5.10 By reason of this breach of fiduciary duties, Plaintiff VANTEK has been damaged in amount in excess of \$75,000.00 and in an exact amount to be proven at trial.

Grant & Elcock, PLLC
Attorneys and Counselors at Law
Heritage Plaza, Suite 104
15640 NE Fourth Plain Blvd.
Vancouver, WA 98682
TEL: (360) 694-848
FAX: (360) 694-8688

## CAUSE OF ACTION NO. 3 INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS EXPECTANCY

(Against Defendant Stillwell, Defendant Spizzo,
Defendant M2Green, LLC, Defendant M2 Green, Defendant
John Does and Jane Does, I through V, and Defendant
John Does, Inc., I through V)

- 5.11 Plaintiff VANTEK realleges and reasserts the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1.1 through 5.10 above.
- 5.12 Defendant STILLWELL and Defendant SPIZZO individually and as agents and principals of Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and Defendant M2GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, INC., knew that Plaintiff VANTEK has a valid contractual and business expectancy in participating in the Smurfit-Stone Mill project pursuant to the Partnership Agreement.
- 5.13 Defendant STILLWELL and Defendant SPIZZO individually and as agents and principals of Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, INC., and Defendant GIGI intentionally interfered with Plaintiff VANTEK's expectancy by diverting this business expectancy of the Smurfit-Stone Paper Mill to Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, INC., and by cutting out the participation of Plaintiff VANTEK, to enrich their own opportunities and deceive Plaintiff VAN TEK.
- 5.14 Defendant STILLWELL, Defendant SPIZZO, Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, INC. interfered with Plaintiff VANTEK's expectancy for improper means and used

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 12

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 13

improper means in accomplishing this interference.

5.15 As a result of this interference with business expectancy, Plaintiff has been damaged in amount in excess of \$75,000.00 and in an exact amount to be proven at trial.

## VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff VANTEK, INCORPORATED, prays the court for judgment as follows:

- 1. The entry of judgment in excess of \$75,000 and in an exact amount to be proven at trial against Defendant GREEN INVESTMENT GROUP, INC., for breach of contract;
- 2. The entry of judgment in excess of \$75,000 and in an exact amount to be proven at trial against Defendant GREEN INVESTMENT GROUP, INC., Defendant RAYMOND S. SPILLWELL, Defendant MARK D. SPIZZO, Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, and Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC, for the breach of fiduciary duties;
- 3. The entry of judgment in excess of \$75,000 and in an exact amount to be proven at trial against Defendant GREEN INVESTMENT GROUP, INC., Defendant RAYMOND S. SPILLWELL, Defendant MARK D. SPIZZO, Defendant M2GREEN, LLC, Defendant M2 GREEN REDEVELOPMENT, LLC, Defendants JOHN DOES and JANE DOES, I through V, and Defendant JOHN DOES, I through V, for interference with Plaintiff VANTEK's business expectancy; and

Grant & Elcock, PLLC Attorneys and Counselors at Law Heritage Plaza, Suite 104 15640 NE Fourth Plain Blvd. Vancouver, WA 98682 TEL: (360) 694-8488 FAX: (360) 694-8688

## The entry of such other relief as the court deems just and 1 4. 2 equitable in favor of Plaintiff VANTEK, INCORPORATED. 3 DATED: June 20, 2011. 4 5 /s/ Donald G. Grant DONALD G. GRANT, WSBA#15480 6 Grant & Elcock, PLLC Heritage Plaza, Suite 104 7 15640 NE Fourth Plain Blvd. Vancouver, WA 98682 8 TEL: (360) 694-8488 9 FAX: (360) 694-8688 E-MAIL: don@grantandelcock.com 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Grant & Elcock, PLLC

Case 3:11-cv-05479-RBL Document 1 Filed 06/23/11 Page 14 of 14

VANT005.COM.wpd COMPLAINT (Breach of Contract, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and Interference with Business Expectancy) - 14

24